



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,791	04/20/2001	Rocco D'Antonio	1139	2557	
7590 10/23/2003 ROBERT R. MALLINCKRODT MALLINCKRODT & MALLINCKRODT 10 EXCHANGE PLACE SUITE 510			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			PASCUA, JES F		
				0 - PEP - 110 - (PEP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727	14	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	1 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/839,791	D'ANTONIO, ROCCO			
The tree of the tr	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Jes F. Pascua	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee be fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) \boxtimes they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) d they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: 2-7 and 10-17.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/839,791

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed language "whereby the paper container is not strong enough to itself hold groceries that would normally fit into the container" raises the issue of new matter and fails to reduce the issues for appeal by raising an issue of indefiniteness under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.